



## DE CoC FY 2023 New Project Scoring Rubric

**Agency's Legal Name** Click or tap here to enter text.

**DV Bonus:**  Yes  No

**Name of Proposed Project** Click or tap here to enter text.

**Project Type:**  TH/RRH  RRH  HMIS

**Name of Reviewer** Click or tap here to enter text.

SSO-CE  PSH

### Required Documentation/Threshold Review

Unscored- to be verified by HAD

**Did the applicant submit the New Project Threshold Checklist?**  Yes  No

**Were all items on the checklist confirmed by the applicant?**  Yes  No

**Did all items meet threshold once verified by HAD?**  Yes  No

**If no, which and why not:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**Is this application eligible to move onto the scoring process?**  Yes  No

### Applicant Experience & Capacity

Worth up to 27 points

**Experience with project component type = 4 points**

If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic population

If RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households

If SSO-CE or HMIS: demonstrates experience and has agreements in place with CoC to perform these duties

- 0 points if not described or no experience
- 2 points if somewhat described and limited experience
- 4 points if adequately addressed and has extensive experience

Actual	Possible
	4

**Experience with household composition (if applicable) = 4 points**

**Applicable to this project?**  Yes  No

**If NO, this criterion is N/A - please move to next criterion. If YES, please score this criterion.**

- 0 points if not described
- 2 points if somewhat described OR there is a letter of support provided
- 3 points if there is a letter of support provided and a clear description of how services will be tailored to address specific needs of the targeted household compositions
- 4 points if evidence of needed experience with subpopulation is clear description of how services will be tailored to address specific needs of the targeted population

Actual	Possible
	TBD

**Experience with subpopulation (if applicable) = 4 points**

**Applicable to this project?**  Yes  No

Actual	Possible
	TBD

**If NO, this criterion is N/A - please move to next criterion. If YES, please score this criterion.**

- 0 points if not described
- 2 points if somewhat described OR there is a letter of support provided
- 3 points if there is a letter of support provided and a clear a clear description of how services will be tailored to address specific needs of the targeted subpopulation(s)
- 4 points if evidence of needed experience with subpopulation is clear description of how services will be tailored to address specific needs of the targeted population

**Leveraging experience = 2 points**

(By leveraging we mean using non-CoC resources and funding to support the work of the program and to help the program achieve its goals.)

- 0 points if not described or no experience
- 1 point if somewhat described but has limited experience
- 2 points if described with specific examples and extensive experience

Actual	Possible
	2

**Program management and accounting systems = 4 points**

- 0 points if not described or inadequate systems in place
- 1 point if somewhat described but has limited systems in place
- 2 points if systems are described in detail, but has limitations with either program management or accounting systems
- 3 points if systems are described in detail and both program management and accounting systems are adequate.
- 4 points if systems are described in detail and are more than adequate to administer the grant.

Actual	Possible
	4

**Compliance with federal funding, including findings and delinquencies = 4 points**

- 0 points if not described or, if yes to any related questions, there is not a valid justification or positive outcome
- 1 point if somewhat described or, if yes to any related questions, there is not an adequate explanation provided
- 2 points if there is a detailed response and, if yes to both related questions, there is enough detail provided to explain a valid justification and/or positive outcome
- 3 points if there is a detailed response and, if yes to a related question, there is enough detail provided to explain a valid justification and/or positive outcome
- 4 points if there is a detailed narrative highlighting strong examples of compliance and there are no findings or delinquencies.

Actual	Possible
	4

**Timeliness = 2 points**

- 0 points if not described or does not meet expectations
- 1 point if somewhat described and meets expectations
- 2 points if described in detail and meets expectations

Actual	Possible
	2

**Subrecipient capacity (if applicable) = 3 points**

**Applicant indicated use of subrecipient?**  Yes  No

**If NO, this criterion is N/A - please move to next criterion. If YES, please score this criterion.**

- 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity of any/all indicated subrecipients to implement project
- 1 point if at least one subrecipient has sufficient capacity
- 2 points if at least two subrecipients have sufficient capacity
- 3 points if it all subrecipients have capacity to operate the project

Actual	Possible
	TBD

**TOTAL APPLICANT EXPERIENCE & CAPACITY**

<b>Actual</b>	<b>Possible</b>
	<b>TBD/27</b>

**Past Performance (current CoC Grantees only)**

**Worth up to 12 points**

**Applicant is current CoC Grantee?**  Yes  No

**If NO, this section is N/A - please move to next section. If YES, please score proposal on criteria in this section.**

**Good Standing = 2 points**

- 0 points for having one or more CoC renewal projects that scored in the bottom 20% of renewal projects in both 2020 & 2021
- 2 points for having zero CoC renewal projects that scored in the bottom 20% of renewal projects in both 2020 & 2021

<b>Actual</b>	<b>Possible</b>
	<b>TBD</b>

**Corrective Action = 2 points**

- 0 points for having one or more CoC renewal projects placed on a Corrective Action Plan within the last 1 year and not adequately resolving all issues
- 1 point for having one or more CoC renewal projects placed on a Corrective Action Plan within the last 1 year and adequately resolving all issues
- 2 points for having zero CoC renewal projects placed on a Corrective Action Plan within the last 1 year

<b>Actual</b>	<b>Possible</b>
	<b>TBD</b>

**Expenditures grant funds = 2 points**

- 0 points for a history of returning more than 0.25% of funds from a project within the last 2 years.
- 1 point for a history of returning between 0% and 0.25% of funds from a project within the last 2 years
- 2 points for expending all grant funds in the last 2 years

<b>Actual</b>	<b>Possible</b>
	<b>TBD</b>

**Strong Outcomes = 6 points**

- 2 points for each benchmark indicated that is at or above CoC average for same project type. If multiple projects are listed for the same requested project type, an average of each benchmark will be used to compare to the CoC average. (HAD and DMA staff to review data submitted and provide averages to scorers.)

<b>Actual</b>	<b>Possible</b>
	<b>TBD</b>

**TOTAL PAST EXPERIENCE**

<b>Actual</b>	<b>Possible</b>
	<b>TBD/12</b>

**Scope & Need**

**Worth up to 18 points**

**Clear and compelling description of need = 6 points**

- 0 points if need not described or data does not support the need for this project
- 3 points if applicant somewhat describes need and provides supporting data
- 6 points if applicant clearly articulates need and provides supporting data

<b>Actual</b>	<b>Possible</b>
	<b>6</b>

**Strategic partnerships with community providers = 4 points**

- 0 points if not described
- 2 points if somewhat described
- 4 points if partners named and nature of partnership described

<b>Actual</b>	<b>Possible</b>
	<b>4</b>

**Projected outcomes = 4 points**

- 0 points if projected outcomes are not described
- 2 points if the outcomes are described, but are below CoC averages
- 3 points if the outcomes are described, but are at CoC averages
- 4 points if all projected outcomes further goals of CoC

Actual	Possible
	4

**Appropriateness of project (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description) = 4 points**

- 0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified
- 2 points if the projected intervention somewhat matches the identified needs
- 4 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified

Actual	Possible
	4

**TOTAL SCOPE & NEED**

Actual	Possible
	18

**Budget Details**  
Worth up to 6 points

**Budget Justification = 6 points**

- 0 points if inadequate
- 2 points if the justification is logical, somewhat descriptive, but inconsistent with what one would expect for project type
- 4 points if the justification is logical, somewhat descriptive, and consistent with what one would expect for project type
- 6 points if the justification is logical, descriptive, and consistent with one would expect for project type

Actual	Possible
	6

**TOTAL BUDGET**

Actual	Possible
	6

**Housing First & Low-Barrier Access**  
Worth up to 20 points

**Experience with housing first/low barrier access = 10 points**

- 0 points if not described or no experience
- 5 points if somewhat described or some experience
- 10 points if there is extensive experience of implementing a housing first model, evidenced by specific examples

Actual	Possible
	10

**Commitment to housing first/low barrier access = 10 points**

- 0 points if there is no evidence of a commitment to housing first/low barrier access beyond the checklist provided
- 5 points if there is some commitment to housing first/low barrier access beyond the checklist provided
- 10 points if the organization has a clear understanding of housing first/low barrier access and a commitment to implement it in the future

Actual	Possible
	10

**TOTAL HOUSING FIRST & LOW-BARRIER ACCESS**

Actual	Possible
	20

## Housing Case Management

Worth up to 8 points

### Plan to obtain and remain in housing = 3 points

- 0 points if not described
- 1 point if somewhat described
- 2 points if described in detail, but plan is inadequate
- 3 points if there is a specific plan indicated that sufficiently meets the need

Actual	Possible
	3

### Appropriateness of services = 3 points

- 0 points if not client-centered and/or service funding is not addressed
- 1 point if client-centeredness and/or funding is somewhat addressed
- 2 points if there is clear evidence of appropriate client-centered services or there is a clear funding strategy
- 3 points if there are both items listed above

Actual	Possible
	3

### Commitment to culturally aware service delivery = 2 points

- 0 points if checked no
- 1 point if checked yes, but narrative is with limited detail
- 2 points if checked yes and narrative has specific examples of how households will be supported in a culturally aware manner.

Actual	Possible
	2

### TOTAL CASE MANAGEMENT

Actual	Possible
	8

## Landlord Relationships

Worth up to 3 points

### Relationship with landlords (if scattered site) = 3 points

Will this project provide scattered-site housing?  Yes  No

If NO, this criterion is N/A - please move to next criterion/section. If YES, please score this criterion/section.

- 0 points if organization does not have relationships or viable plan to provide scattered-site housing
- 1 point if landlord relationship and/or engagement activities are only somewhat addressed in the narrative response
- 2 points if organization does not have the needed relationships with landlords (directly or through partnerships with community partner) but has a clear, viable landlord engagement plan in place
- 3 points if organization already has the needed relationships with landlords (directly or through partnerships with community partner) to quickly connect households to housing opportunities and this is clearly articulated in the narrative response

Actual	Possible
	TBD

### TOTAL LANDLORD RELATIONSHIPS

Actual	Possible
	TBD/3

**Resource Linkages**  
Worth up to 6 points

**Linkage to Mainstream Benefits = 2 points**

- 0 points for 2 boxes checked
- 1 point for 2-4 boxes checked
- 2 points for all boxes checked

Actual	Possible
	2

**Plan to increase income = 2 points**

- 0 points for no clear plan identified
- 1 point for a plan somewhat articulated
- 2 points for a clear plan with specific action steps

Actual	Possible
	2

**Linkage to other resources = 2 points**

- 0 points for no clear plan identified
- 1 point for a plan somewhat articulated
- 2 points for a clear plan with specific action steps

Actual	Possible
	2

**TOTAL RESOURCE LINKAGES**

Actual	Possible
	6

**Bonus**  
Worth up to 10 points

**Is this a PSH or RRH project?** Yes No

**If NO, this criterion is N/A – continue to the scoring summary. If YES, please score.**

**Integrate non-CoC and non-ESG housing subsidies = 4 points**

- 0 points for no documented commitment
- 4 points for commitment, plan and supporting documentation (i.e., commitment letter, etc.)

Actual	Possible
	N/A

**Integrate healthcare resources into service delivery = 4 points**

- 0 points for no documented commitment
- 4 points for commitment, plan and supporting documentation (i.e., commitment letter, etc.)

Actual	Possible
	N/A

**Plan for meaningful inclusion of persons with lived experience = 2 points**

- 0 points for no plan or insufficient plan
- 2 points for detailed and well thought out plan

Actual	Possible
	N/A

**TOTAL BONUS**

Actual	Possible
	N/A

**\*Note: Possible Bonus Points will remain “N/A” regardless of whether points applicable or not so that any points earned can be counted as additional points, above and beyond what is possible.**

## SCORING SUMMARY

	Earned Points	Possible Points
<b>Applicant Experience and Capacity</b> Up to 27 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Choose an item.
<b>Past Performance (existing grantees only)</b> Up to 12 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Choose an item.
<b>Scope &amp; Need (project description)</b> Up to 18 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	<b>18</b>
<b>Budget Narrative</b> Up to 6 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	<b>6</b>
<b>Housing First &amp; Low-Barrier Access</b> Up to 20 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	<b>20</b>
<b>Housing Case Management</b> Up to 8 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	<b>8</b>
<b>Landlord Relationships</b> Up to 3 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Choose an item.
<b>Resource Linkages</b> Up to 6 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	<b>6</b>
<b>Bonus Points</b> Up to 10 pts.	Click or tap here to enter text.	<b>N/A</b>
<b>TOTAL:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.

**Total points earned:** [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

**Score as % of points possible:** [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

**Total points possible:** [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

**Do you recommend this project for CoC funding?**

Yes, as is
  Yes, but modified (see below)
  No

**Recommended changes to the project design/scale/etc.**  N/A

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

**Name of Reviewer:** [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)